## **Infinite Galois Theory**

June 11, 2020

#### Galois Group of *p*-Power Cyclotomic Extension of Q

For prime p,  $Gal(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{p^{\infty}})/\mathbf{Q})$  has two concrete descriptions:  $\mathbf{n \geq 2}$  $\{x = (a_1 \mod p, a_2 \mod p^2, \ldots) : a_n \equiv a_{n-1} \mod p^n, a_p \not\equiv 0 \mod p\},$ 

$${x = c_0 + c_1 p + c_2 p^2 + \cdots : 0 \le c_n \le p - 1, c_0 \ne 0},$$

with x acting on finite layer  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{p^n})$  by

$$\zeta_{p^n} \mapsto \zeta_{p^n}^{\times} = \zeta_{p^n}^{a_n} = \zeta_{p^n}^{c_0 + c_1 p + \dots + c_{n-1} p^{n-1}}.$$

In terminology of *p*-adic integers,  $Gal(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{p^{\infty}})/\mathbf{Q}) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{p}^{\times}$ .

**Example**. From Lecture 1,  $Gal(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{2^{\infty}})/\mathbf{Q})$  has two different subgroups  $\langle 5 \rangle$  and  $\langle 13 \rangle$  with the same fixed field  $\mathbf{Q}(i)$ .

**Theorem**. In  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{\times}$ ,  $\overline{\langle 5 \rangle} = \overline{\langle 13 \rangle} = 1 + 4\mathbb{Z}_2$ . How is 5 a 2-adic limit point of  $\langle 13 \rangle$ ? Check  $5 = 13^{\times}$  for  $x = 1 + 2 + 2^2 + 2^3 + 2^8 + \cdots$ , which is  $\frac{\log(13)}{\log(5)}$  using 2-adic logarithm series.

#### A $Z_5$ -extension of Q



### A $Z_p$ -extension of Q



Set  $K_{p,\infty} = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{p^{\infty}})^{\mu}$ . It's called the *cyclotomic*  $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$ -*extension* of  $\mathbf{Q}$  since  $\operatorname{Gal}(K_{p,\infty}/\mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{Z}_{p}^{\times}/\mu \cong \mathbf{Z}_{p}$ . The <u>closed</u> subgroups of  $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$  are  $\{0\}$  and  $p^{n}\mathbf{Z}_{p}$  for  $n \geq 0$ , so the proper <u>subfields</u> of  $K_{p,\infty}$  are  $K_{p,n}$  of degree  $p^{n}$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\operatorname{Gal}(K_{p,n}/\mathbf{Q}) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{p}/p^{n}\mathbf{Z}_{p} \cong \mathbf{Z}/p^{n}\mathbf{Z}$ .

**Conjecture** (Coates). For all p and all  $n \ge 1$ , the field  $K_{p,n}$  has class number 1. See MO questions 41219 and 82480.

#### A Z<sub>p</sub>-extension of a Number Field

For a number field *F*,  $\operatorname{Gal}(FK_{p,\infty}/F) \cong \operatorname{Gal}(K_{p,\infty}/(K_{p,\infty} \cap F))$ , which is open in  $\operatorname{Gal}(K_{p,\infty}/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ , so  $\operatorname{Gal}(FK_{p,\infty}/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ .



Call  $FK_{p^{\infty}}$  the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of F. It is the subfield of  $F(\zeta_{p^{\infty}})$  fixed by elements of finite order in  $Gal(F(\zeta_{p^{\infty}})/F) \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$ .

Besides cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions, others are not constructed concretely, but they are out there.  $X^2 - 2$  has roots  $\sqrt[3]{2}$ . If F is not totally real (*e.g.*,  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ ) then it has infinitely many  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions, but only finitely many "independent" ones (analogy:  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is infinite with finite basis). Number of independent  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions is between  $1 + r_2(F)$  and  $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = r_1(F) + 2r_2(F)$ .

If *F* is totally real (*e.g.*, **Q**,  $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ ,  $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha)$  where  $\alpha^3 - 9\alpha - 9 = 0$ ) then  $r_2(F) = 0$  and we *expect* its cyclotomic  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension is its only  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension. This is a theorem if  $F/\mathbf{Q}$  is abelian, *e.g.*, the only  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension of **Q** and real quadratic field is their cyclotomic  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension.

Study of  $Z_p$ -extensions of number fields was initiated by Iwasawa, leading to *Iwasawa theory*, a major area of contemporary number theory.

# -> nxn inv. cpx. matrices.

If  $\rho: \operatorname{Gal}(L/K) \to \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is a continuous homomorphism ("Artin Like representation"), then it has finite image!

- A small open neighborhood U of the identity in  $GL_n(\mathbf{C})$  has no nontrivial subgroup ("no small subgroups").
- 2 Then  $\rho^{-1}(U)$  is open in Gal(L/K) and contains the identity, so  $Gal(L/F) \subset \rho^{-1}(U)$  for some finite extension F/K.
- 3 The image ρ(Gal(L/F)) is in U and is a subgroup, so it is trivial. Thus ρ(σ Gal(L/F)) = ρ(σ) for all σ: ρ is constant on cosets of Gal(L/F).
- The open subgroup Gal(L/F) in Gal(L/K) has finite index (index is [F : K]), so  $\rho$  has finitely many values in  $GL_n(\mathbf{C})$ .

Lesson: the Krull topology on Gal(L/K) and complex topology on  $GL_n(\mathbf{C})$  do not interact in an interesting way.

#### A Galois Representation With Infinite Image

For  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbf{Q}} = \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ , its effect on the *p*-power roots of unity is by raising to some *p*-adic unit exponent:  $\sigma(\zeta_{p^n}) = \zeta_{p^n}^{a(\sigma)}$  for some  $a(\sigma) \in \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$  (independent of *n*). This gives us a homomorphism

$$\chi_p: G_{\mathbf{Q}} \to \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$$

called the *p*-adic cyclotomic character.

**Example**: 
$$\chi_p(id.) = 1$$
.

**Example**:  $\chi_p(\text{cpx. conj.}) = -1$ .

$$\chi_{p}(\sigma) = \alpha(\sigma)$$
  
 $\chi(\sigma)$   
 $\sigma(Sp^{n}) = Spn$   
for all  $n = 1$ .

How can we calculate  $\chi_p$  anywhere else when we have no formula for other elements of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ ?!? Stay tuned...

The function  $\chi_p$  is surjective and continuous: inverse image of  $1 + p^n \mathbb{Z}_p$  is  $Gal(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^n}))$ , which is open in  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  since  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^n})$  is finite. So  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $1 - CHP \mathbb{Z}_p$  ( $P = \mathbb{Z}_p$ ) Lesson: the Krull topology on  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  interacts in an interesting way with p-adic groups like  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$  or  $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

#### Absolute Galois group

To each field K we can associate a compact group: the Galois group over K of its maximal separable extension (= its algebraic closure, in characteristic 0). This is called its *absolute Galois group*:





In **Q**, let **Z** be the ring of *all* algebraic integers (the roots of monic polynomials with integer coefficients). For  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ ,  $\sigma(\overline{\mathbf{Z}}) = \overline{\mathbf{Z}}$ . For a nonzero prime (maximal) ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$ , the field  $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}/\mathfrak{p}$  is a model of  $\overline{\mathbf{F}_p}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbf{Z} = p\mathbf{Z}$ . **Analogue**.  $\mathbf{Z}[i]/(3) = \mathbf{F}_9$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}[i]/(1+2i) = \mathbf{F}_5$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}[i]/(1-2i) = \mathbf{F}_5$ . The group  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  acts on  $\{\mathfrak{p} : \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbf{Z} = p\mathbf{Z}\}$  with <u>one orbit</u>. (Proof: prove it in finite extensions of  $\mathbf{Q}$ , then use compactness of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ .)

**Analogue**. Gal $(\mathbf{Q}(i)/\mathbf{Q}) = \{1, c\}$  and c((1+2i)) = (1-2i).

For groups actions, stabilizer subgroup of each point is important. In this setting, for historical reasons, it is called the *decomposition* group at  $\mathfrak{p}$ :

$$D(\mathfrak{p}) = \{ \sigma \in G_{\mathbf{Q}} : \sigma(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p} \}.$$

Can show this is a *closed* subgroup of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  by looking at its image in  $Gal(F/\mathbf{Q})$  for all finite Galois  $F/\mathbf{Q}$  and its effect on  $\mathfrak{p} \cap F$ .

#### **Frobenius Elements**

Elements of  $D(\mathfrak{p}) = \{ \sigma \in G_{\mathbf{Q}} : \sigma(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p} \}$  preserve congruences mod  $\mathfrak{p}$ : for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$ ,

$$\alpha \equiv \beta \mod \mathfrak{p} \Longrightarrow \alpha - \beta \in \mathfrak{p} \Longrightarrow \sigma(\alpha) \equiv \sigma(\beta) \mod \mathfrak{p}.$$

So  $D(\mathfrak{p})$  makes sense on  $\overline{\mathbf{Z}}/\mathfrak{p} \cong \overline{\mathbf{F}_p}$ : we get a homomorphism  $D(\mathfrak{p}) \to \operatorname{Gal}((\overline{\mathbf{Z}}/\mathfrak{p})/\mathbf{F}_p) = G_{\mathbf{F}_p} := \zeta \mathfrak{r}_p \mathfrak{r}_p$ 

This is continuous and *surjective*. (Proof: prove analogue in finite extensions of  $\mathbf{Q}$ , then use compactness of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ ).

**Definition**. A Frobenius element at  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  that looks like *p*th power map mod  $\mathfrak{p}$ :  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) \equiv \alpha^{p} \mod \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbf{Z}}$ .

**Example**. For a root of unity  $\zeta_m$  with order m not divisible by p,  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\zeta_m) = \zeta_m^a$  where (a, m) = 1. Also  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\zeta_m) \equiv \zeta_m^p \mod \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $\zeta_m^a \equiv \zeta_m^p \mod \mathfrak{p}$ . The mth roots of unity stay distinct when reduced mod  $\mathfrak{p}$ , so  $\zeta_m^a = \zeta_m^p$ . Thus  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\zeta_m) = \zeta_m^p$  when  $p \nmid m$ . When  $\ell$  is prime,  $\ell \neq p$ ,  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\zeta_{\ell^n}) = \zeta_{\ell^n}^p$ , so  $\chi_{\ell}(\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}) = p$  in  $\mathbf{Z}_{\ell}^{\times}$ . A Frobenius element at  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbf{Z} = p\mathbf{Z}$ , is an element  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}} \in G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  that looks like *p*th power map mod  $\mathfrak{p}: \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) \equiv \alpha^{p} \mod \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbf{Z}}$ .

The Chebotarev density theorem says that for finite Galois  $F/\mathbf{Q}$ , each element of  $\operatorname{Gal}(F/\mathbf{Q})$  is a "Frobenius element in  $\operatorname{Gal}(F/\mathbf{Q})$ " (in many ways). In our topological language, this means for each  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  that  $\sigma|_F = \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}|_F$  for some (in fact infinitely many)  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  in  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ , so the Frobenius elements of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  are a *dense* subset of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ .

This is why continuous representations of  $G_Q$  are often described by their behavior just on suitably chosen Frobenius elements.

Chebotarev proved his "density theorem" in 1922, as a theorem about Frobenius elements (conjugacy classes) in *finite* Galois groups over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , before Krull developed infinite Galois theory. That his theorem can be interpreted as saying a certain subset of  $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is dense is an accident.